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“How one walks through the world, the endless small adjustments of balance, is affected by the shifting weights of beautiful things.” Elaine Scarry.

“Along the way you got distracted, lured, invoked, or tripped on a rock in some split moment you blinked.” L.V. Hall.

Given the aging population, and the personal and socioeconomic toll attributed to accidental falls,\(^1\) it is appropriate that a huge volume of research has been devoted to the problem over the past 30 years. Additionally, evidence demonstrating the value of walking with regard to quality of life, cognitive function, and mortality is robust, confirming that optimal health care promotes walking in older patients despite the fall risk.\(^2\) However, this prolonged and vigorous research effort has given rise to an excessively broad array of often self-evident fall “risk factors”. For example, a recent review offers 19 categories of intrinsic fall risk factors,\(^3\) and respected sources list the circular variables “balance and gait impairments” and “previous falls” as leading fall risk factors.\(^3,4\)

Are risk factors such as balance/gait impairments and history of previous falls useful, or merely restatements of the problem, begging the question: “Why is the patient’s balance poor?” Would our society be satisfied if it asked why certain ships frequently sank and the engineers’ answers, after years of research, were that these boats had poor buoyancy, erratic navigational control, and had commonly sunk in the prior year, without saying why? No, the taxpayer’s money would have been misused. The same is true for much of falls research in its present state. We need more insightful, fundamental, and clinically applicable answers as to why some patients fall other than poor balance. The physician needs to know why balance is poor. We are challenged to identify the attributes essential for balance and assemble them into a coherent conceptual model that will encompass the excessive and confusing number of fall risk factors and simplify the situation for the time-constrained clinician.

The flaw inherent to the “impaired balance as a risk factor for falls” approach is that it treats balance as a discrete physiologic entity, such as high-frequency hearing or elbow flexor strength. In reality, good balance is likely a...
rapid synergistic interaction between various physiologic and cognitive attributes that allow rapid and precise response to a perturbation. Although there have been efforts to identify the physiologic factors responsible for balance, including in particular the seminal contributions of Lord et al., and the need for a model has been recognized, there is no clinically accessible conceptual model that integrates the various discrete attributes required for optimal balance and makes them available to the practitioner.

In prior work, my colleagues and I studied a cohort of older subjects, approximately 2 of 3 with diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) and 1 of 3 without, a group that represented a spectrum of peripheral neurologic function. We evaluated lower limb neuromuscular status by measuring hip strength and ankle proprioceptive precision in the frontal plane (abduction/adduction and inversion/eversion, respectively). We found that the ratio of normalized frontal plane hip strength and ankle proprioceptive precision in degrees (Hip$^\text{STR}:\text{AnkPRO}$) powerfully predicted unipedal stance time, falls, and fall-related injuries. However, we also evaluated gait on smooth and uneven surfaces, and Hip$^\text{STR}:\text{AnkPRO}$ did not correlate with frontal plane step control on either surface despite lower limb evaluations being frontal plane measures. This represented a critical limitation given the biomechanical importance of lateral foot placement to stability during gait, and the increased injury potential of lateral falls. Moreover, Hip$^\text{STR}:\text{AnkPRO}$ did not identify the few subjects who sustained major life-changing injuries during the year of follow-up. Searching for answers, I turned attention to 2 novel evaluations of neurocognitive function performed at baseline. These were Simple Reaction Time Latency (Simple RT$^\text{clin}$ Latency) and Complex Reaction Time Accuracy (Complex RT$^\text{clin}$ Accuracy) as described in the companion article. Briefly, the former is determined by the time (in millisecond [ms]) a vertically oriented rod falls before it is caught by subject hand closure, whereas the latter is measured by the percentage of trials in which the subject correctly catches the device when lights on it illuminate upon descent, and correctly withholds catching it when the lights do not illuminate. The outcome is millisecond for the former, and percentage of accurate responses for the latter. The challenging component for Complex RT$^\text{clin}$ Accuracy is to withhold catching the device when the lights do not illuminate, and to make that decision within the 420 ms before the device strikes the ground.

As reported in the companion article, baseline assessments of Complex RT$^\text{clin}$ Accuracy and Simple RT$^\text{clin}$ Latency, and their ratio, demonstrated potent associations with unipedal stance time and frontal plane gait variability on an uneven surface in the subjects with DPN but not in the subjects with normal peripheral neuromuscular function. Remarkably, the ratio of Complex RT$^\text{clin}$ Accuracy to Simple RT$^\text{clin}$ Latency was the sole predictor of frontal plane gait variability and explained 60% of the variability in extreme frontal plane steps for the DPN subjects on the uneven surface (Fig. 1, companion paper). In addition, the few subjects with major injuries showed a decreased Complex RT$^\text{clin}$ Accuracy:Simple RT$^\text{clin}$ Latency, consistent with computer models finding that cognitive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response to Large Fire</th>
<th>Response to Major Perturbation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Call boxes and cell phones report the massive fire quickly to the dispatchers at the station.</td>
<td>1.Precise somatosensory (and/or visual) function quickly relays perturbation-related afferent changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The dispatchers are overwhelmed. The Fire Chief steps in quickly, assigns the fire the highest priority and coordinates a response.</td>
<td>2. The routine, unconscious responses from lower centers are insufficient, the DLPFC is involved and competing stimuli and cognitive activity are inhibited as the perturbation receives the highest priority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The dispatchers send out a volley of calls to quickly re-direct fire trucks already out and send more as needed.</td>
<td>3. The DLPFC must quickly inhibit routine walking and generate a response that alters swing limb trajectory before 400 to 500 msec have elapsed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The engine(s) within the trucks must be powerful.</td>
<td>4. The responding lower motor neurons and muscles must rapidly generate force.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1 Essential elements for rapid response to a fire (left) and the analogous essential attributes for rapid response to a postural perturbation.
slowing leading to a 300-ms delay in implementing an avoidance strategy, while falling markedly increases the likelihood of experiencing fracture level forces at impact.\textsuperscript{12}

There is growing recognition that neurocognitive attributes powerfully influence fall risk.\textsuperscript{13} Executive function, and more specifically inhibitory executive function, has been identified as a key neurocognitive factor for minimizing fall risk. Executive function likely resides anatomically within the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and is responsible for the rapid allocation of cognitive resources. Giordani and Prasad describe inhibitory executive function as the ability to “... prevent distracting information from entering working memory... and prevent prepotent (automatic) responses that may not be appropriate to the current situation.”\textsuperscript{14} The advantages of being able to ignore distracting information and quickly arrest a preplanned step while walking so as to institute an alternative strategy are evident with regard to fall and injury prevention.

Combining our work with that of others suggests that rapidly available neuromuscular and neurocognitive attributes are critical to balance. This invites the inclusion, and linking, of both sets of attributes into a single clinically available conceptual model. A functionally pragmatic definition of human balance can be: “The ability to respond quickly to a perturbation such that postural equilibrium is maintained or restored.” What, then, are the specific attributes necessary to do this? Perhaps it is easier to work with analogy and ask: What specific attributes are required to quickly respond to a large fire, and then relate this to response to a major perturbation (Figs. 1, 2).

In the same manner that small fires are handled by dispatchers without fire chief involvement, small perturbations are likely handled by the basal ganglia, brainstem gait centers, and cerebellum in those with intact neuromuscular function. This absence of cortical involvement allows the healthy to walk in most environments with minimal conscious effort. However, the fire chief and the cerebral

\textbf{FIGURE 2} A model of the key attributes required for the ability to respond quickly to a perturbation. The afferent process shown is proprioceptive in nature, but vision and vestibular input must be accounted for as well. The central processes are related to inhibitory executive function and attention/simple reaction time. Although Complex RT\textsubscript{cen}Accuracy (as RRTAcc) is depicted in the figure, other measures of this critical neurocognitive attribute such as Stroop or Trails B testing is acceptable.
cortex must become involved when (a) there is a major fire or the perturbation is sufficiently large or (b) the dispatchers/lower gait centers are insufficient to the recovery task. With regard to falls, this could be due to absence of precise afferent inflow (eg, neuropathy or vision loss), weakness (eg, myopathic process) or primary disorders of the lower centers themselves (eg, Parkinson disease). Under such circumstances, the cerebral cortex/fire chief must become involved by quickly inhibiting irrelevant afferent input and distracting cognitive processes, weighing the multiple inputs, and rapidly generating a coordinated response via the lower centers/dispatchers. Evidence suggests that the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dorsolateral prefrontal cortex) is involved in executive functions, and imaging studies show this area to be highly active during recovery from large perturbations and in the compensation for ataxic gait after cerebellar injury. Finally, the fire chief’s rapid planning is for naught if the trucks are slow, and similarly, there must be sufficient strength in key muscles to generate torque quickly enough for the perturbation to be successfully rejected.

The model’s validity is supported if the myriad accepted fall risk factors are accounted for within it, and if accepted interventions are present as well. Figures 3A and 3B list previously identified risk factors that share an association with the neurocognitive or neuromuscular attributes within the model. Although 2 known risk factors, incontinence and pain, are not primarily within the
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**FIGURE 3**  
A. A list of identified fall risk factors associated with impairments in neurocognitive processing speed. B, A list of identified fall risk factors associated with impairments in lower limb neuromuscular function.
model, they seem to interact with executive impairments to increase fall risk, as such patients impulsively move into precarious circumstances to avoid pain or bladder accident. With respect to known successful interventions, optimizing vision and augmenting somatosensory function can decrease fall risk and improve response to perturbation, and cognitive training and attention-enhancing medications may improve gait parameters associated with fall risk.\(^7\) Finally, increasing lower limb strength seems to decrease fall risk.\(^21\)

Model validity is also supported if poor performance in an attribute delays appropriate response to perturbation, or relative strength in another compensates for limitations. Hereditary neuropathy patients demonstrate delayed neuromuscular response to perturbation that is proportionate to the sensory deficit.\(^22\) We found that hip strength can compensate for poor ankle proprioception and the companion article, along with others, note that neurocognitive factors are critically important when neuromuscular factors are suboptimal.\(^23\) The clinical relevance of these relationships is that improvement in modifiable attributes may help compensate for those irreversibly impaired.

This clinically applicable model is a first approximation that is not intended to perfectly reflect known basic science. For example, there is likely central nervous system-mediated variability in perturbation recovery efficiency, analogous to the fire truck’s ability to find the most direct route to the blaze, and the critical muscles to be evaluated for recovery have not been clearly identified and accounted for.\(^25\) In addition, feed-forward mechanisms of anticipatory postural control are likely mediated by communication from the temporoparietal cortex to lower centers, and no means of discretely and clinically evaluating this capacity is offered. Finally, the concepts described have been derived from subjects with diabetic neuropathy, and so generalization to other clinical groups is less certain.

The critical point is that the model links a few essential neuromuscular and neurocognitive traits required for speed of response to perturbation (Fig. 2), and so has the potential to streamline and objectify clinical decision making. In a succinct application, as is invariably required in clinic visits, practitioners confronted with patients reporting a history of a perturbation-induced fall or loss of balance may observe unipedal stance or a timed up and go or some other functional task that allows observation of gait and balance performance. Then, clinicians can profitably focus on reliable measures of somatosensory function and vision, and proximal muscle strength.\(^27\) Next, they can evaluate the lower centers (brainstem, basal ganglia, and cerebellum) via examination of cranial nerves, evaluation for rigidity, tremor, and multiple steps when turning, along with altered heel-to-shin and finger-nose-finger testing and dysdiadochokinesia. If one or more of these subcortical or peripheral neuromuscular functions are suboptimal and cannot be treated or reliably accommodated for, then the patient will likely need optimal neurocognitive executive function to avoid falls. These can be evaluated through simple reaction time testing\(^26\) and classic Stroop or Trails B evaluation. It can then be predicted that patients with suboptimal performance on these evaluations, along with poor neuromuscular or subcortical functions, will be least likely to respond successfully to perturbations, and so they should be targets of the most intense interventional therapy, medication review, and environmental modification.

Clinicians deserve a more logical and simplified strategy for evaluating fall risk, rather than being perplexed by self-evident, overlapping, and ever-multiplying risk factors, and patients/families deserve a better understanding of fall risk than old age.\(^7\) The challenge is to further test the model and bring increasingly reliable tools to the bedside for evaluating the specific physiologic capacities that predict the ability to respond to a perturbation within the 300- to 400-ms window available before inappropriate swing limb placement and potential injury. With this more fundamental perspective in mind, rational therapy and specific strategies with which to guide patients and rehabilitation colleagues can be recommended with confidence, and generic referrals for “balance training” diminished, with corresponding reductions in costs and futility. Our patients and society deserve no less after the extensive resources that have been invested into fall prevention research.
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